UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,607	02/10/2004	Shinya Nakai	118622 1677	
25944 OLIFF & BER	7590 09/10/2007 RIDGE PLC		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 19928			TRAN, TUAN A	
· ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

				(XV			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/774,607	NAKAI, SHINYA				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAIL INC DATE of this are well as it was	Tuan A. Tran	2618				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	'S			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE (a). In no event, however, may a reprint apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH (a) cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed S from the mailing date of this community NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 June 2007</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) 🗀	- The state of the						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 5 and 6 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Applicati	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance on is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12)⊠ a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in App ity documents have been re (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stag	je			
2) Notic 3) Inforr	et(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) smation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) str No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sakuragawa et al. (6,759,924).

Regarding claim 1, Sakuragawa discloses a front end module for processing transmission signals and reception signals in each of a first frequency band and a second frequency band (See fig. 12), the front end module comprising: a first separating means 23 (branching filter) connected to an antenna and separating the first and second frequency bands from each other; a second separating means 20a connected to the branching filter 23 for separating the transmission signals and the reception signals in the first frequency band from each other and includes a first pair of SAW filters or bulk acoustic wave filters 11a, 12a; the third separating means 20b connected to the branching filter 23 for separating the transmission signals and the reception signals in the second frequency band from each other and includes a second pair of SAW filters or bulk acoustic wave filters 11b, 12b; a single multi-layer substrate for integrating the first to the third separating means, wherein the first separating means is made up of a

conductor layer located inside or on a surface of the multi-layer substrate (See figs. 9-12 and col. 4 line 59 to col. 6 line 36).

Regarding claim 2, Sakuragawa discloses as cited in claim 1. Sakuragawa further discloses the first and second pairs of SAW filters are mounted on the multi-layer substrate; and at least a part of circuit portions of the second and third separating means except the SAW filters (e.g. the phase shift lines 21a, 21b) is made up of the conductor layer located inside or on the surface of the multi-layer substrate 101 (See fig. 10 and col. 5 lines 1-15).

Regarding claim 3, Sakuragawa discloses as cited in claim 1. The branching filter 23, as disclosed by Sakuragawa, is widely known as diplexer that comprises a low pass filter for allowing signals of frequencies in the first frequency band to pass through and intercepting signals of frequencies in the second frequency band; and a high pass filter for allowing signals of frequencies in the second frequency band to pass through and intercepting signals of frequencies in the first frequency band.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakuragawa et al. (6,759,924).

Regarding claim 4, Sakuragawa discloses as cited in claim 1. However, Sakuragawa does not explicitly mention that the first and second frequency bands are CDMA bands. Since Sakuragawa does suggest that the front end module is applicable to multi-band system (See col. 5 lines 50-56) and CDMA bands are widely known in the art; therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to reconfigure the front end module such that it is applicable to CDMA bands for the advantage of expanding the capability of the system to various communication spectrums.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 5-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claims 5-6, Sakuragawa discloses as cited in claim 1. Sakuragawa further discloses the first separating means is a branching filter 23, the second and third separating means 20a, 20b include delay lines for impedance adjustment (phase shift lines 21a, 21b) that is provided between the SAW filters 11, 12 and the branching filter 23, and inherently a ground layer and terminals disposed at a bottom surface of the multi-layer substrate, wherein the SAW filters 11, 12 are mounted on a top surface of the multi-layer substrate (See figs. 10, 12). However, Sakuragawa does not mention that a conductor layer that forms the delay lines and that is disposed between the ground layer and the top surface of the multi-layer substrate, and a conductor layer that

forms the branching filter and that is disposed between the ground layer and the bottom surface of the multi-layer substrate as specified in claim 5.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

• Ikata (6,380,823).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan A. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7858. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 10:00AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Anderson can be reached on (571) 272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tuan Tran AU- 2618 Application/Control Number: 10/774,607

Art Unit: 2618

Page 7